# A Comparison of Energy Ratings and an Analysis of Energy Performance Improvements for a Domestic Dwelling.

### Aim of the Project

To report on the enhancement of the proposed dwellings energy performance by utilising building information software.

#### **Project Objectives**

- Research and investigate the current building standards, guidelines and regulations for the dwelling while considering energy consumption.
- Create a model of the dwelling using REVIT software while adhering to the original building plans, include all heating, cooling and thermal characteristics.
- Conduct a heating and cooling loads analysis on the dwelling using the REVIT software to quantify the buildings current energy demands, use these results to determine its building energy rating (BER) by using the dwelling energy assessment procedure (DEAP) software.
- Identify and implement improvements using the software that could be made to optimise the dwellings energy performance and BER rating.
- Compare and analyse the initial and improved BER results, report on the impact and cost of the proposed energy efficiency improvements.



Figure 1: Domestic dwelling under testing

#### Background

This section looks at the key ideas and technologies behind making efficient, more energy homes especially through retrofitting. It covers important policies like the EU Buildings Directive that push for better energy performance in older buildings. It also goes into detail on upgrades like insulation, heat pumps, mechanical ventilation, and solar panels, explaining how they help improve BER ratings and lower energy use. On top of that, it looks at how software like DEAP and BIM can be used to properly assess and plan energy improvements. All this research ties into the rest of the dissertation, backing up the decisions made in the methodology and results sections.

Table A.8

BER labels in EPC datab and ranges used in the TIM Ireland Model.

Label		Energy	
EPC	TIM	kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /	
A1			
A2	A	≤ 75	
A3			
B1	B1	76-100	
B2	B2	101-125	
B3	B3	126-150	
C1			
C2	C	151-225	
C3			
D1	D	226-300	
D2	D		
E1	P	001 000	
E2	E	301-380	
F	F	381-450	
G	G	>450	

Figure 2: BER ratings



The methodology focused on accurately assessing the energy performance of a 1950s bungalow and testing improvements using DEAP and REVIT software. DEAP was used to establish the original BER rating and model various upgrades, such as insulation, heat pumps,

Figure 3: Development of house in REVIT

mechanical ventilation, and solar panels, to measure their impact. REVIT helped simulate heating and cooling loads to ensure the correct sizing of heating systems, preventing inefficiencies. Each step was taken to reflect real-world retrofit scenarios and align with SEAI guidelines, ensuring that the recommended improvements were both practical and costeffective.

Methodology

Performance BER)B3 Energy Value CO2 er 134.87 31 kWh/m²/yr kgCO2 Figure 5: Dwellin renovation	nission .89 /m²/yr ng post Fig s	Perform BER Energy Value 72.59 kWh/m²/yr gure 6: MH extra in	nance A3 <sup>CO</sup> <sub>2</sub> emission 20.36 kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /yr VR, 10 Panels sulation	The BER shows how measures improve the efficiency. The started at a B (Figure 4), we energy demand	analys retro cou e energ e dwellin BER of with hig and ar
Energy Value CO <sub>2</sub> er 134.87 31 kWh/m²/yr kgCO <sub>2</sub> Figure 5: Dwellin renovation	nission .89 /m²/yr ng post Fig s	Energy Value 72.59 kWh/m²/yr gure 6: MH extra in	A3 CO <sub>2</sub> emission 20.36 kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /yr VR, 10 Panels sulation	shows how measures improve the efficiency. The started at a E (Figure 4), energy dema	retro cou e energ e dwellir BER of with hig and ar
Energy Value CO <sub>2</sub> er 134.87 31 kWh/m²/yr kgCO <sub>2</sub> Figure 5: Dwellin renovation	- nission .89 /m²/yr ng post Fig s	Energy Value 72.59 <sup>kWh/m²/yr</sup> gure 6: MH <sup>*</sup> extra in	CO <sub>2</sub> emission 20.36 kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /yr VR, 10 Panels osulation	improve the efficiency. The started at a E (Figure 4), energy dema	e ener e dwelli BER of with hi and a
134.87 31 <sup>kWh/m²/yr</sup> <sup>kgCO</sup> 2 <i>Figure 5: Dwellin</i> <i>renovation</i> ike insulation	.89 <sup>/m²/yr</sup> ng post Fig s	72.59 <sup>kWh/m²/yr</sup> gure 6: MH <sup>*</sup> extra in	20.36 <sub>kgC0<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>/yr VR, 10 Panels isulation</sub>	efficiency. The started at a E (Figure 4), v energy dem	e dwelli BER of with hi and a
Figure 5: Dwellin renovation	ng post Fig Is	gure 6: MH extra in	VR, 10 Panels Isulation	(Figure 4), energy dem	with hi and a
	and glaz	zing, imp	proved it to	B3 (Figure	5). Furt
dition of mech oving the effec HVR and 6 PV panels	anical ver tiveness c	ntilation, of combir	heat pumps ning multiple and 10 PV panels	s, and PV pane e strategies. MHVR, 10 Panels and e	IS, boos
A3	A3		A2	A3	
73.25	59.07		45.77	72.59	
9.38	7.56		5.86	20.36	
Energy Performance			Figure upgrade impact c	7 presents combinations on BER rating	differ and th s, ene
	ving the effec IVR and 6 PV panels A3 73.25 9.38 rgy Performance	Ving the effectiveness ofIVR and 6 PV panelsEverythingA3A373.2559.079.387.56Table 1: A rational formance	ving the effectiveness of combinIVR and 6 PV panelsEverythingEverythingA3A3A373.2559.079.387.56Table 1: A rating possilrgy Performance	ving the effectiveness of combining multipleIVR and 6 PV panelsEverythingEverything and 10 PV panelsA3A3A273.2559.0745.779.387.565.86Table 1: A rating possibilitiesFigureupgradeupgradeupgradevalues, a	ving the effectiveness of combining multiple strategies.IVR and 6 PV panelsEverythingEverything and 10 PV panelsMHVR, 10 Panels and eA3A3A2A373.2559.0745.7772.599.387.565.8620.36Table 1: A rating possibilitiesFigure 7 presentsupgrade combinationsimpact on BER ratingvalues, and carbon em

5 100
50
6 PV Panels B2
6 PV Panels B2
1 HP, MHVR and 6 PV panels A3
6 rerything and 10 PV panels A2
Figure 7: DEAP BER Results

demonstrates how layering energy-efficient measures-such as heat renewable pumps, energy, and additional insulation—further enhances energy performance. The optimal combination upgrades of achieved an A2 rating, showing the best balance between energy savings and BER improvements.

## Conclusion

- Each objective for this dissertation was researched, performed and results discussed in depth.
- The background/ literature review examined retrofitting strategies like insulation, heat pumps, ventilation, and renewables, alongside national and EU energy policies.
- DEAP and REVIT simulations assessed how retrofits impact a 1950s bungalow's BER, energy demand, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- Both simulations and case studies showed deep retrofits improved BER from G (1,509.05 kWh/m²/yr) to A2 (45.77 kWh/m²/yr), cutting emissions drastically.
- A combined approach—insulation, efficient heating, ventilation, and renewables proved essential for maximizing energy savings and sustainability.

Special thanks to Dr Adrian Chaplin BEng, PhD my supervisor and Ms Clodagh Moore for presenting the dissertation class.